## 1 Comparison of Liner Force Conventions in $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$

### 1.1 Problem Description

This problem involves comparing how moments and axial and shear forces are interpreted in $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ ( Phase $^{2}$ ) and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$. This was done by creating two models in both $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$ : a model of a lined cylindrical tunnel excavation (model A) and a model of a lined surface excavation (model B). Although $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ analyzes the model in two dimensions, and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$ in three dimensions, the results of both models should be comparable.

In model A, when using $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$, the Horizontal Mode was selected, while Vertical Mode was used for model B. The option can be found in Analysis $\rightarrow$ Project Settings $\rightarrow$ Orientation.


Figure 1-1: $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ model A of a cylindrical tunnel


Figure 1-2: $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$ model A of a cylindrical tunnel

Table 1-1: Model A parameters

| Parameter |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Material type | Elastic |
| Young's modulus (E) | 2 e 5 kPa |
| Poisson's ratio (v) | 0.25 |
| Hole radius (a) | 2 m |
|  | Liner properties |
| Material type | Elastic |
| Young's modulus (E) | 2 e 8 kPa |
| Poisson's ratio (v) | 0.2 |
| Thickness | 0.8 m |



Figure 1-3: $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ model B of an excavation


Figure 1-4: $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$ model B of an excavation

Table 1-2: Model B parameters

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Material type | Elastic |
| Young's modulus (E) | 2 e 4 kPa |
| Poisson's ratio (v) | 0.25 |
|  |  |
|  | Liner |
|  | properties |
| Material type | Elastic |
| Young's modulus (E) | 3 e 7 kPa |
| Poisson's ratio (v) | 0.2 |
| Thickness | 0.2 m |

### 1.2 Results

For model A, the axial force, bending moment, and shear force acting along the liner was calculated in $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$. In $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$, the axial force hoop, moment hoop, and shear force hoop was calculated.

Note that for the cylindrical excavation, the hoop data type, and not the longitudinal, is used.


Figure 1-5: Model A axial force along liner in $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ (left) and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$


Figure 1-6: Model A moment along liner in $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ (left) and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$
Note that the sign convention is inverted between the two programs for the bending moment.


Figure 1-7: Model A shear force along liner in $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ (left) and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$
The sign convention for the shear force is also inverted between $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$.
For model B , the axial force, bending moment, and shear force acting along the liner is calculated in $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$. In $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$, the axial force longitudinal, moment longitudinal, and shear force longitudinal is calculated. Note again that the sign convention is inverted between the two programs for the bending moment and shear force.


Figure 1-8: Model B axial force along liner in $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ (left) and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$


Figure 1-9: Model B moment along liner in $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ (left) and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$


Figure 1-10: Model B shear force along liner in $\mathrm{RS}^{2}$ (left) and $\mathrm{RS}^{3}$

